

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,059		02/09/2001	Tsutomu Chikazawa	100794-11620 (FUJM18.307)	6940
26304	7590	09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
KATTEN 575 MAD		IN ROSENMAN ENUE	MOORE, IAN N		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			2661	
				DATE MAIL ED: 00/01/200	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/781,059	CHIKAZAWA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
lan N. Moore	2661

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Nothis application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The stime periods:	nent, affidavit, or other evidence, which fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) When the content of the content	ne mailing date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	TIEN THE FINOT NET ET WAS FIELD WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 n	nust be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set for AMENDMENTS	(7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing	g a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (	
<ul><li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li></ul>	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fill NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a se non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 3-15. Claim(s) objected to: 16 and 18.	o)
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 17</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filed because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but price entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections undeshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier prese	er appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	s after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the appli	ication in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)  13. Other:	Paper No(s)
	CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 is amended in lines 34-37 to include "said implementation of connection...and service selector". Theses additions made to claim 1 raise new issues.

INM *β* 8/30/05

9NM